

# THE AMERICAN ASSOCIATION OF IMMUNOLOGISTS

August 21, 2015

## **Response of the American Association of Immunologists (AAI) to the USDA Notice of Petition: Petition to Amend the Reporting Requirements for Research Facilities Under the Animal Welfare Act Regulations**

(<https://www.federalregister.gov/articles/2015/06/24/2015-15499/petition-to-amend-the-reporting-requirements-for-research-facilities-under-the-animal-welfare-act>)

*Submitted on behalf of AAI by Lauren G. Gross, J.D.,  
Director of Public Policy and Government Affairs,  
The American Association of Immunologists (AAI)*

The American Association of Immunologists (AAI), the largest professional association of immunologists in the world, appreciates this opportunity to submit comments in response to a petition by the National Anti-Vivisection Society (NAVS) seeking certain amendments to the Animal Welfare Act (AWA) regulations.

AAI strongly supports the humane care and use of all research animals. Many of our members depend on the use of animals in their research, and therefore are concerned about their care and use. Many AAI members, including those who are veterinary immunologists, spend their working lives using immunologic discoveries to improve the health and well-being of companion animals and livestock.

AAI believes that any changes to the AWA regulations should benefit research animals or advance research. Rather than achieving these objectives, the petition's suggested changes would increase both burden and costs. Because administrative and regulatory burden are significant barriers Our

responses to APHIS's questions are below:

**1. Should APHIS amend AWRs to require research facilities that use animals for teaching, testing, and experimentation to provide specific information about how regulated animals are used (for example, for safety testing, teaching purposes, or disease research)? Would reporting this information improve animal welfare? If so, how?**

APHIS should not amend the animal welfare regulations (AWRs) to mandate reporting on the specific uses of research animals. Protocols, procedures, and experiments that will be performed on animals covered by the AWA are reviewed and approved by an Institutional Animal Care and

Use Committee (IACUC) prior to implementation. Review by an IACUC, and communication between the investigator and the IACUC, already ensure animal welfare under the teaching or experimental conditions. The submitted petition does not specify how its requests would improve upon these standards. Reporting on a specific procedure on, or location of, an individual animal will not improve the animal's welfare.

**2. If research facilities were required to report the purposes of their animal research activities, what types of information should be provided, and why?**

The petition does not explain how additional reporting on the purpose of research activities will benefit the research animals. AAI believes that these reporting requirements will, however, clearly increase the administrative burden on and cost to research facilities, researchers, and APHIS. If additional requirements were to be imposed, AAI recommends that the classification of research and experiment type should be very broad, since some research projects test multiple scientific aspects and use some animals in multiple experiments (often to reduce the number of animals used).

**3. What might be the effects, if any, on research facilities if they are required to collect and report this additional information?**

If new collection and reporting requirements were implemented, both APHIS and research

Currently, research facilities must report the number of each animal species used and categorize